The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requires a State receiving funds under the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) program to provide assurances in four key areas of education reform: (a) achieving equity in teacher distribution, (b) improving collection and use of data, (c) standards and assessment, and (d) supporting struggling schools. For each area of reform, the ARRA prescribes specific actions that the State must assure it will implement. The U.S. Department of Education has established specific data and information collection and public reporting requirements that a State receiving funds under the SFSF program must meet with respect to the statutory assurances. This report provides information related to the SFSF assurances, is posted on the web site of the Montana Office of Public Instruction, and is updated annually.

Education Reform Area: Achieving Equity in Teacher Distribution

Table A provides information on the number and percentage of core academic subjects taught by highly qualified teachers and on the distribution of highly qualified teachers as defined by federal law. It also shows Montana's plans to survey school districts regarding the systems used by the districts to evaluate teachers and principals.

Table A. Indicators related to Achieving Equity in Teacher Distribution

Indicator	Description	MT Response
(a)(1)	The number and percentage of	Information is posted on
	core academic courses taught,	the MT OPI web site at
	in the highest and lowest	http://www.opi.mt.gov/R
	poverty schools, by teachers	eports&Data/index.html?
	who are highly qualified	gpm=1_9
	consistent with section 910(23)	
	of the Elementary and	
	Secondary Education Act of	
	1965, as amended	
(a) (2)	Whether the State's Teacher	Yes, Montana's Teacher
	Equity Plan (as part of the	Equity Plan is posted at
	Highly Qualified Teacher Plan)	http://www.opi.mt.gov/p
	fully reflects the steps the State	df/FEDPrgms/HQT/12June
	is currently taking to ensure	HQTRevisedPlan.pdf
	that students from low-income	
	families and minority students	
	are not taught at higher rates	
	than other students by	
	inexperienced, unqualified or	
	out-of-field teachers	

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requires a State receiving funds under the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) program to provide assurances in four key areas of education reform: (a) achieving equity in teacher distribution, (b) improving collection and use of data, (c) standards and assessment, and (d) supporting struggling schools. For each area of reform, the ARRA prescribes specific actions that the State must assure it will implement. The U.S. Department of Education has established specific data and information collection and public reporting requirements that a State receiving funds under the SFSF program must meet with respect to the statutory assurances. This report provides information related to the SFSF assurances, is posted on the web site of the Montana Office of Public Instruction, and is updated annually.

Table A. Continued

Indicator	Description	MT Response
Descriptor	The systems used to evaluate the	Posted on the OPI website:
(a)(1)	performance of teachers and the	
	use of the results from those	Principal and Teacher Evaluation Survey Reports
	systems in decisions regarding	<u>by School System</u>
	teacher development,	
	compensation, promotion,	
	retention, and removal	
(a)(3)	Whether the state systems used to	Posted on the OPI website:
	evaluate the performance of	
	teachers include student	Principal and Teacher Evaluation Survey Reports
	achievement outcomes or student	by School System
	growth data as an evaluation	
() (a)	criterion	D
(a)(4)	If the district's teachers receive	Posted on the OPI website:
	performance ratings or levels	Drive inclosed Toocher Fralienties Commerce Deposits
	through an evaluation system, the	Principal and Teacher Evaluation Survey Reports
	number and percentage of teachers rated at each	<u>by School System</u>
(a)(5)	performance rating or level If the district's teachers receive	Posted on the OPI website:
(a)(3)	performance ratings or levels	rosted on the Ori Website.
	through an evaluation system,	Principal and Teacher Evaluation Survey Reports
	whether the number and	by School System
	percentage of teachers rated at	<u>by seriour system</u>
	each performance rating or level	
	are publicly reported for each	
	school in the LEA	
Descriptor	The systems used to evaluate the	Posted on the OPI website:
(a)(2)	performance of principals and the	
	use of the results from those	Principal and Teacher Evaluation Survey Reports
	systems in decisions regarding	by School System
	principal development,	
	compensation, promotion,	
	retention, and removal	

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requires a State receiving funds under the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) program to provide assurances in four key areas of education reform: (a) achieving equity in teacher distribution, (b) improving collection and use of data, (c) standards and assessment, and (d) supporting struggling schools. For each area of reform, the ARRA prescribes specific actions that the State must assure it will implement. The U.S. Department of Education has established specific data and information collection and public reporting requirements that a State receiving funds under the SFSF program must meet with respect to the statutory assurances. This report provides information related to the SFSF assurances, is posted on the web site of the Montana Office of Public Instruction, and is updated annually.

Table A. Continued

(a)(6)	Whether the state systems used to evaluate the performance of	Posted on the OPI website:
	principals include student achievement outcomes or student	Principal and Teacher Evaluation Survey Reports by School System
	growth data as an evaluation criterion	
(a)(7)	If the district's principals receive performance ratings or levels	Posted on the OPI website:
	through an evaluation system, the number and percentage of	Principal and Teacher Evaluation Survey Reports by School System
	principals rated at each performance rating or level	

Education Reform Area: Achieving Equity in Teacher Distribution

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requires a State receiving funds under the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) program to provide assurances in four key areas of education reform: (a) achieving equity in teacher distribution, (b) improving collection and use of data, (c) standards and assessment, and (d) supporting struggling schools. For each area of reform, the ARRA prescribes specific actions that the State must assure it will implement. The U.S. Department of Education has established specific data and information collection and public reporting requirements that a State receiving funds under the SFSF program must meet with respect to the statutory assurances. This report provides information related to the SFSF assurances, is posted on the web site of the Montana Office of Public Instruction, and is updated annually.

Education Reform Area: Improving Collection and Use of Data

Table B provides information on 12 the elements of the America COMPETES Act that are included in the State's statewide longitudinal data system. It also provides information about whether the state provides reports to teachers about student growth on state assessments and individual teacher impact.

Table B. Indicators related to Improving Collection and Use of Data

Indicator	Description	MT Response
(b)(1)	Which of the	Plan Indicator B(1) – 12 America
	12 elements	COMPETES
	described in	
	the America	
	COMPETES	
	Act are	
	included in	
	the State's	
	statewide	
	longitudinal	
	data systems	

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requires a State receiving funds under the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) program to provide assurances in four key areas of education reform: (a) achieving equity in teacher distribution, (b) improving collection and use of data, (c) standards and assessment, and (d) supporting struggling schools. For each area of reform, the ARRA prescribes specific actions that the State must assure it will implement. The U.S. Department of Education has established specific data and information collection and public reporting requirements that a State receiving funds under the SFSF program must meet with respect to the statutory assurances. This report provides information related to the SFSF assurances, is posted on the web site of the Montana Office of Public Instruction, and is updated annually.

Table B. Continued

Indicator	Description	MT Response
(b) (2)	Whether the State provides	Plan Indicators B(2) and B(3) – Student Growth
	student growth data on their	and Teacher Impact
	current students and the students	
	they taught in the previous year	
	to, at a minimum, teachers of	
	reading/language arts and	
	mathematics in grades in which	
	the State administers	
	assessments in those subjects in a	
	manner that is timely and informs	
	instructional programs	
(b)(3)	Whether the State provides	Plan Indicators B(2) and B(3) – Student Growth
	teachers of reading /language	and Teacher Impact
	arts and mathematics in grades in	
	which the State administers	
	assessments in those subjects	
	with reports of individual teacher	
	impact on student achievement	
	on those assessments	

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requires a State receiving funds under the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) program to provide assurances in four key areas of education reform: (a) achieving equity in teacher distribution, (b) improving collection and use of data, (c) standards and assessment, and (d) supporting struggling schools. For each area of reform, the ARRA prescribes specific actions that the State must assure it will implement. The U.S. Department of Education has established specific data and information collection and public reporting requirements that a State receiving funds under the SFSF program must meet with respect to the statutory assurances. This report provides information related to the SFSF assurances, is posted on the web site of the Montana Office of Public Instruction, and is updated annually.

Education Reform Area: Standards and Assessments

Table C provides information on the approval status of Montana's Comprehensive Assessment System (MontCAS) for the criterion-referenced tests (CRT and CRT-Alt). It also provides information about the number of students with disabilities and the number of limited English proficient students who are included in the reading/language arts and mathematics assessments. Montana's Report Card is posted at and includes information on student performance on the National Assessment of Education Progress. The table also provides information on the indicators related to high school graduation rates and college continuation.

Table C. Indicators related to Standards and Assessment

Indicator	Description	MT Response
(c)(1)	The approval status, as	USED Approved –
	determined by the U.S.	Reading/Language Arts
	Department of	Mathematics
	Education, of the State's	Science
	assessment system with	
	respect to	Posted on OPI web site at
	reading/language arts,	http://www.opi.mt.gov/pdf/Ass
	mathematics, and	essment/CI/MontCAS-
	science assessments	Overview.pdf
(c) (2)	Whether the state has	Approved
	developed and	
	implemented valid and	Posted on OPI web site at
	reliable alternate	http://www.opi.mt.gov/pdf/Ass
	assessments for students	essment/CI/MontCAS-
	with disabilities that are	Overview.pdf
	approved by the U.S.	
	Department of	
	Education	
(c)(3)	Whether the State's	Alternate Achievement
	alternate assessment for	Standards
	students with	
	disabilities, if approved	http://www.opi.mt.gov/pdf/Ass
	by the Department, are	essment/CI/MontCAS-
	based on grade-level,	Overview.pdf
	modified or alternate	
	standards	

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requires a State receiving funds under the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) program to provide assurances in four key areas of education reform: (a) achieving equity in teacher distribution, (b) improving collection and use of data, (c) standards and assessment, and (d) supporting struggling schools. For each area of reform, the ARRA prescribes specific actions that the State must assure it will implement. The U.S. Department of Education has established specific data and information collection and public reporting requirements that a State receiving funds under the SFSF program must meet with respect to the statutory assurances. This report provides information related to the SFSF assurances, is posted on the web site of the Montana Office of Public Instruction, and is updated annually.

Table C. Indicators related to Standards and Assessment

Indicator	Description	MT Response
(c)(4)	Whether the State has completed in	Plan Indicators C(4) and C(6) –
	the last two years, an analysis of the	Testing Accommodations
	appropriateness and effectiveness of	
	the accommodations it provides	
	students with disabilities to ensure	
	their meaningful participation in	
	State assessments	
(c)(5)	The number and percentage of	This information is posted on the OPI web site
	students with disabilities who are	at
	included in State reading/language	http://www.opi.mt.gov/PDF/NCLB/10Particip
	arts and mathematics assessments	ationRates_swd_lep.pdf.
(c)(6)	Whether the State has completed in	Plan 4 – Indicators C(4) and C(6) –
	the last two years, an analysis of the	Testing Accommodations
	appropriateness and effectiveness of	
	the accommodations it provides	
	limited English proficient students to	
	ensure their meaningful participation	
	in State assessments	
(c)(7)	Whether the State provides native	No
	language versions of State	
	assessments for limited English	
	proficient students that are approved	
	by the U.S. Department of Education	
(c)(8)	The number and percentage of	This information is posted on the OPI web site
	limited English proficient students	at
	who are included in State	http://www.opi.mt.gov/PDF/NCLB/10Particip
	reading/language arts and	ationRates_swd_lep.pdf.
	mathematics assessments	
(c)(9)	Whether the State's annual Report	Yes
	Card contains the most recent	
	available State reading and	http://opi.mt.gov/Reports&Data/index.html?
	mathematics National Assessment of	gpm=1_10
	Educational Progress (NAEP) results	

Indicator	Description	MT Response
(c)(10)	Provide, for the State, for each LEA in the State,	2011 Four-Year Adjusted Cohort
	for each high school in the State and, at each of	Graduation Rate
	these levels, by student subgroup (consistent	Statewide Results
	with section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v)(II) of the ESEA),	LEA Results
	the number and percentage (including	
	numerator and denominator) of students who	
	graduate from high school using a four-year	
	adjusted cohort graduation rate as required by	
	34 CFR 200.19(b)(1)(i).	
(c) (11)	Provide, for the State, for each LEA in the State,	Plan Indicators C(11) and C(12) –
	for each high school in the State and, at each of	College Continuation
	these levels, by student subgroup (consistent	
	with section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v)(II) of the ESEA), of	
	the students who graduate from high school	
	consistent with 34 CFR 200.19(b)(1)(i), the	
	number and percentage (including numerator	
	and denominator) who enroll in an institution	
	of higher education (IHE) (as defined in section	
	101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as	
	amended (HEA)) within 16 months of receiving	
	a regular high school diploma.	
(c)(12)	Provide, for the State, for each LEA in the State,	Plan Indicators C(11) and C(12) –
	for each high school in the State and, at each of	College Continuation
	these levels, by student subgroup (consistent	
	with section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v)(II) of the ESEA), of	Montana High School Follow-up
	the students who graduate from high school	Report for students who
	consistent with 34 CFR 200.19(b)(1)(i) who	graduated in 2009
	enroll in a public IHE (as defined in section	
	101(a) of the HEA) in the State within 16	
	months of receiving a regular high school	
	diploma, the number and percentage	
	(including numerator and denominator) who	
	complete at least one year's worth of college	
	credit (applicable to a degree) within two years	
	of enrollment in the IHE	

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requires a State receiving funds under the State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) program to provide assurances in four key areas of education reform: (a) achieving equity in teacher distribution, (b) improving collection and use of data, (c) standards and assessment, and (d) supporting struggling schools. For each area of reform, the ARRA prescribes specific actions that the State must assure it will implement. The U.S. Department of Education has established specific data and information collection and public reporting requirements that a State receiving funds under the SFSF program must meet with respect to the statutory assurances. This report provides information related to the SFSF assurances, is posted on the web site of the Montana Office of Public Instruction, and is updated annually.

Education Reform Area: Supporting Struggling Schools

Table D provides information relating to Title I schools in improvement, corrective action or restructuring that have made progress on Montana's statewide student assessment. It also identifies those Title I schools which the Montana Office of Public Instruction has identified as "persistently lowest-achieving schools."

Table D. Indicators related to Support for Struggling Schools

Indicator	Description	MT Response
(d)(1)	The average statewide school gain in the "all students" category and the average statewide school gain for each student subgroup on the State assessments in reading/language arts; and the number and percentage of Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that have made progress on State assessments in reading/language arts, in the last year.	http://opi.mt.gov/ PDF/Titlel/SIP/rpt SFSFGrantsReadin g.pdf
(d)(2)	The average statewide school gain in the "all students" category and the average statewide school gain for each student subgroup on the State assessments in mathematics; and The number and percentage of Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that have made progress on State assessments in mathematics, in the last year.	http://opi.mt.gov/ PDF/Titlel/SIP/rpt SFSFGrantsMath.p df

Indicator	Description	MT Response
Descriptor (d)(1)	The definition of "persistently lowest-achieving schools" that the State uses to identify such schools	http://opi.mt.gov/PDF/Titlel/SIP/Mon tana_Definition_Persistently_Lowest %20Achieving_Schools.pdf
(d)(3)	The number and identity of schools that are Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that are identified as persistently lowest-achieving schools	http://www.opi.mt.gov/pdf/Titlel/SIP /11SIG_Cohort1.pdf http://www.opi.mt.gov/pdf/Titlel/SIP /12SIG_Cohort2.pdf
(d)(4)	Of the persistently lowest-achieving schools that are Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring, the number and identity of schools that have been turned around, restarted, closed, or transformed in the last year	None of the persistently lowest- achieving schools have been turned around, restarted, closed, or transformed in the last year.
(d)(5)	The number and identity of the schools that are secondary schools that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I funds that are identified as persistently lowest-achieving schools	Montana has no secondary schools that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I funds that are identified as persistently lowestachieving schools.
(d)(6)	Of the persistently lowest-achieving schools that are secondary schools eligible for, but do not receive Title I funds, the number and identity of schools that have been turned around, restarted, closed, or transformed in the last year	Montana has no secondary schools that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I funds.
(d)(7)	The number of charter schools that are permitted to operate under state law	The Board of Public Education (BPE) adopted an administrative rule in 1994 allowing for the creation of charter schools. The BPE has not received any requests to create a charter school in Montana.
(d)(8)	The number of charter schools currently operating	No charter schools are currently operating in Montana.
(d)(9)	The number and percentage of charter schools that have made progress on State assessments in reading/language arts in the last year	No charter schools are currently operating in Montana.
(d)(10)	The number and percentage of charter schools that have made progress on State assessments in mathematics in the last year	No charter schools are currently operating in Montana.
(d)(11)	The number and identity of charter schools that have closed (including schools that were not reauthorized to operate) within each of the last 5 years	No charter schools are currently operating in Montana.

Education Reform Area: Supporting Struggling Schools

Indicator	Description	MT Response
(d)(12)	For each charter school that has closed (including a	Not applicable
	school that was not reauthorized to operate)	
	within each of the last 5years, whether the closure	
	of the school was for financial, enrollment,	
	academic, or other reasons	